UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/691,434	08/02/96	YAMAZAKI S	07/36-1561

01M1/0105
SIXBEY FRIEDMAN LEEDOM & FERGUSON
SUITE 600
2010 CORPORATE RIDGE
MCLEAN VA 22102

EXAMINER	
WILCZEWSKI,M	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
1107	

DATE MAILED: 01/05/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary	Application No. 08/691,434	Applicant(s) Yamazaki et al.
	Examiner M. Wilczewski	Group Art Unit 1107

☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Sep 18, 1997*.

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire *three* month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) *11-13 and 15-73* is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) *11-13, 15, 21-23, 26-55, and 62-73* is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) *16-20, 24, 25, and 56-61* is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐approved ☐disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☒ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☒ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) *08/160,909*.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____.

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of claims 16-20, 24, and 25 and sub-species 56-61 in Paper No. 24 is acknowledged. Claims 11-13, 15, 21-23, 26-55, and 62-73 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to the non-elected species of the invention.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/160,909, filed on February 18, 1994.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The term "substantially square" in claims 56, 57, and 59-61 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "substantially square" is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining what is meant by "substantially square", and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-20, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Begin et al. In view of Miyachi et al., Nakayama et al., and Kawasaki et al., further in view of Codama et al., all of record, further in view of Pressley, U.S. Patent 4,475,027, newly cited.

Begin et al. disclose an apparatus for processing semiconductor wafers which includes satellite reaction chambers 60, 62, 64, and 66 disposed around the periphery of central chamber 14, see figure 1. A robot assembly 16 comprising arms 18, 20, and 22 is disposed in central chamber 14. Assembly 16 moves the substrate 12 to any position within the apparatus. Begin et al. lack anticipation only of disclosing that reaction chambers 60, 62, 64, and 66 comprise an ion introducing apparatus and a laser processing apparatus. However, apparatuses used for irradiating an amorphous silicon layer for dehalogenating and hydrogenating the layer, etching, and plasma doping are well known in the art, see Miyachi et al., Kawasaki et al., and Nakayama et al., respectively.

Miyachi et al., in particular, disclose an apparatus which comprises a film-forming chamber 1 for forming an amorphous semiconductor film and a dehalogenating-hydrogenating

chamber 2, see figure 5, for example. The two chambers are combined by a conveying device 13. The substrates 10 move between the two chambers without being exposed to outside air. Note in Example 14 that the dehalogenation-hydrogenation is preferably performed by light irradiation using, for example, an ultraviolet laser, a visible light laser, or a carbon dioxide laser, see column 18, lines 29-43. Miyachi et al. lack anticipation only of using a rectangular-shaped laser beam having an elongated cross-section and of moving the substrate in a direction orthogonal to the laser beam during the irradiating step.

Pressley discloses an laser processing apparatus which comprises a rectangularly-shaped laser beam in which the laser beam is scanned by moving the beam relative to the substrate, see column 7, lines 4-9. Since the apparatus of Pressley permits uniform laser irradiation of semiconducting materials, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a laser beam having a rectangular cross-section in the dehalogenating-hydrogenating chamber of Miyachi et al. In addition, it is obvious from the teachings of Pressley that the substrates could be alternatively moved with respect to the laser beam in the known apparatus of Miyachi et al.

Codama discloses a method of fabricating a thin film transistor which includes the steps of depositing an amorphous silicon layer; etching the silicon layer, the gate layer and the gate insulating layer; plasma doping the silicon layer to form source and drain regions, see column 1, lines 42-46; and hydrogenating the silicon layer. Therefore, in light of the semiconductor device manufacturing process of Codama, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to include a

laser processing apparatus and an ion introducing apparatus in the known multi-chambered apparatus of Begin et al. In order to fabricate the thin film transistor of Codama.

Claims 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Begin et al. in view of Miyachi et al., Nakayama et al., and Kawasaki et al., further in view of Codama et al., all of record, further in view of Hashizume, JP 03-286518, newly cited.

Begin et al. disclose an apparatus for processing semiconductor wafers which includes satellite reaction chambers 60, 62, 64, and 66 disposed around the periphery of central chamber 14, see figure 1. A robot assembly 16 comprising arms 18, 20, and 22 is disposed in central chamber 14. Assembly 16 moves the substrate 12 to any position within the apparatus. Begin et al. lack anticipation only of disclosing that reaction chambers 60, 62, 64, and 66 comprise an ion introducing apparatus and a laser processing apparatus. However, apparatuses used for irradiating an amorphous silicon layer for dehalogenating and hydrogenating the layer, etching, and plasma doping are well known in the art, see Miyachi et al., Kawasaki et al., and Nakayama et al., respectively.

Miyachi et al., in particular, disclose an apparatus which comprises a film-forming chamber 1 for forming an amorphous semiconductor film and a dehalogenating-hydrogenating chamber 2, see figure 5, for example. The two chambers are combined by a conveying device 13. The substrates 10 move between the two chambers without being exposed to outside air. Note in Example 14 that the dehalogenation-hydrogenation is preferably performed by light irradiation using, for example, an ultraviolet laser, a visible light laser, or a

carbon dioxide laser, see column 18, lines 29-43. Miyachi et al. lack anticipation only of using a rectangular-shaped laser beam having an elongated cross-section and of moving the substrate in a direction orthogonal to the laser beam during the irradiating step.

Hashizume discloses a laser processing apparatus which comprises a laser beam having a rectangular shape in which the laser beam is scanned by moving the beam relative to the substrate, see figure 2 and pages 7-9 of the translation. Note in figure 4, Hashizume shows that a rectangular-shaped laser beam having a width greater than a "substantially" square substrate is scanned along the substrate surface. The use of a rectangularly-shaped laser beam, as in the method of Hashizume, eliminates overlapping regions and permits uniform irradiation of the silicon layer, see page 7 of the translation. Since the rectangular-shaped laser beam of Hashizume permits uniform laser irradiation of semiconducting materials, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a laser beam having a rectangular cross-section in the dehalogenating-hydrogenating chamber of Miyachi et al. In addition, it is obvious from the teachings of Hashizume that the substrates could be moved with respect to the laser beam in the known apparatus of Miyachi et al. It is noted that Hashizume does not disclose the dimensions of either the substrate or laser beam, however, in light of the generic teaching of Hashizume to use a rectangularly-shaped laser beam having a width greater than that of the irradiated substrate, these dimensions are not deemed to patentably distinguish the claimed method from that of Hashizume. In addition, Hashizume discloses a laser beam scanning

technique, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that, alternatively, the substrate could be moved with respect to the laser beam.

Codama discloses a method of fabricating a thin film transistor which includes the steps of depositing an amorphous silicon layer; etching the silicon layer, the gate layer and the gate insulating layer; plasma doping the silicon layer to form source and drain regions, see column 1, lines 42-46; and hydrogenating the silicon layer. Therefore, in light of the semiconductor device manufacturing process of Codama, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to include a laser processing apparatus and an ion introducing apparatus in the known multi-chambered apparatus of Begin et al. in order to fabricate the thin film transistor of Codama.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additionally cited references disclose various methods of processing semiconductor and metal layers using laser beams having a rectangular shape and the laser processing apparatus associated therewith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Wilczewski whose telephone number is (703) 308-2771.

MARY WILCZEWSKI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1100

MW

December 18, 1997